

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/781,280	02/17/2004	Masaki Nakamura	56232.98	2036
7590 10/05/2004  Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300  San Francisco, CA 94111			EXAMINER	
			FAISON, VERONICA F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1755	•
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  Examiner Veronica F. Faison  10/781,280  NAK.  Veronica F. Faison  1755  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond for Reply	pondence address
Office Action Summary  Examiner  Veronica F. Faison  1755  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond for Reply	Jnit 5 pondence address
Veronica F. Faison 1755  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond for Reply	pondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp Period for Reply	pondence address
Period for Reply	РОМ
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may re earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ling date of this communication.  I.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecut closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	
Disposition of Claims	
<ul> <li>4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examin	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CF	, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in the application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	••
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1 Interview Summary (PTO-4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5 Notice of Informal Patent Apper No(s)/Mail Date	·

Application/Control Number: 10/781,280

Art Unit: 1755

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-11 are indefinite because no specific composition for the material is set forth. "An article characterized by physical properties alone and no specific composition is vague and indefinite". See *Ex parte Slob*, 157 USPQ 172.

Claim 11 provides for the use of ink set, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/781,280

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kataoka et al (US 2004/0103818).

Kataoka et al teach an ink set comprising at least three color inks yellow, magenta and cyan and further comprises ink (A) and/or ink (B) (abstract and page 2 para. 0020). The further teaches that the pigment solids is present in the amount of 2 percent or greater (page 3 para.0050). The pigments that may be used in the cyan ink include Pigment Blue 1, 2, 3, 15:3, 15:4, 15:34, 15, 22 and 60 as well as Vat Blue 4 and 60 (page 4 para. 0060). A dispersing agent such as polyacrylic acid, polymethyacrylic acid and styrene-acrylic acid copolymer may be present in the ink composition in the amount of 0.1 to 10 percent by weight (page 5 para. 0084). The composition remains silent to the properties set forth in claim 1. However, these properties are considered inherently because the same ink components are taught by Kataoka et al that are disclosed by Applicant. The reference also teaches that the ink set can be used in inkjet recording and can produce printed matter (page 7 para. 0109-0111). The composition as taught by Kataoka et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1755

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

yeronica F. Faison